

Bicyclist – bicyclist crashes – A Medical and Technical Crash Analysis

Stephan Brand, MD, Dietmar Otte, PhD, Christian Müller, MD, Timo Stübiger, MD,
Christian Krettek, MD, FRACS, Carl Haasper, MD

Trauma Department Hanover Medical School with Accident Research Unit
Unfallchirurgische Klinik mit Verkehrsunfallforschung der Medizinischen Hochschule Hannover (MHH)

Background: The purpose of this study was to analyse the actual injury situation of bicyclists regarding accidents involving more than one bicyclist. Bicyclists were included in a medical and technical analysis to create a basis for preventive measures and discovered repeating accident patterns and circumstances such as daytime, environment, helmet use rate.

Methods: Technical and medical data were collected at the scene, shortly after accident. The population was compared focusing on bicycle versus bicycle accidents. Technical analysis included speed at crash, type of collision, impact angle, environment, used lane and relative velocity. Medical analysis included injury pattern and severity (AIS, ISS).

Results: Included were 578 injured bicyclists in 289 accidents from years 1999 to 2008, 61 percent were male (n=350) and 39 percent female (n=228). Sixty-seven percent ranged between 18 to 64 years of age, twelve percent each between 13 to 17 years of age and older than 65 years, eight percent between 6 to 12 years and one percent between 2 to 5 years.. Crashes took place in urban areas in 92 percent, in rural areas in 8 percent. Weather conditions were dry lanes in 97 percent and wet conditions in 3 percent. Eighty-three percent of all accidents happened during daytime, ten percent during night, and seven percent during dawn. The helmet use rate was only 7,5 percent in all involved bicyclists. The mean Maximum Abbreviated injury scale, Injury severity score was 1,31.

Conclusion: Bicyclists are still minimally- or unprotected road users. The helmet use rate is unsatisfactorily low. The incidence of bicycle to bicycle crashes is high. Most of these accidents take place in urban areas. The level and pattern of injuries is moderate. Most of the more severe injuries occur to the head and could have been avoided by frequent helmet use.

Key Words: Bicyclist, bicycle helmet, injury severity, technical analysis, medical analysis

Introduction

Although there is a growing number of helmet-users, bicyclists are still minimally protected road users^{1, 2, 3, 11, 18}. Regarding pedestrians there average travelling speed is high and there reaction time is therefore lowered^{2, 3}. The actual injury situation of bicyclist has already been investigated and published^{4, 15}, but there has not been any specific in-depth investigation regarding the bicycle to bicycle crash circumstances and the different injury pattern occurring to the opponents.

By using technical in-depth crash investigations in combination with medical data analysis the purpose of our study was to analyze the actual injury situation of bicyclists by setting our priorities on bicycle to bicycle crashes and there different injury patterns^{6-10, 12, 14-20}. The target of our study was to create a basis for effective preventive measures regarding repeated injury patterns^{14, 20}.

Methods

Since 1972, a local traffic research unit has collected prospective data in regard to all reported traffic crashes within Germany¹³. Specially trained documentation personnel are notified by police dispatchers and arrive on scene, often simultaneously with the rescue personnel. Thus, investigation of the crash (measurements by photography, stereophotography, three dimensional-laser technique), and clinical injury documentation is performed on site. This case report is then completed at the hospital, where all of the injured victims are taken, with proper documentation of X-ray examination, injury type, and severity^{17, 22}. The monitoring includes demographic data, area of collision, environmental circumstances, injury pattern, Abbreviated Injury Scale (AIS), Maximum AIS (MAIS), Injury Severity Score (ISS)⁵, incidence of serious or severe multiple injuries

n(polytrauma, AIS 3+ in two different body regions of the patient admitted to the hospital), incidence of serious (MAIS, 2-4) or severe injuries (MAIS, 5-6), and mortality.

Among the technical measurement techniques, the modern 3D-laser technique is a quick and exact method to document the exact position of all objects at the crash site. A 3D-data cube with a maximum size of 50m³ is generated from the data obtained by the 3D-laser scanner. This data allows an exactly scaled reconstruction of the crash site for later technical analysis of the crash. Slide and skid marks of bicycles, objects, and victims and any kind of deformation of involved vehicles or objects are also measured, and these data are included in the crash analysis. Data from a database containing technical features of involved bicycles are included in the analysis. The inclusion of the described data in a software-based calculation allows an exact estimation of parameters as delta-v or collision speed.

In total, the monitoring of the crash research unit includes demographic data, type of road user, delta-v (km/h) for motorized vehicle users; vehicle collision speed for bicyclists, Abbreviated Injury Scale (AIS) score, Maximum AIS (MAIS) score, Injury Severity Score (ISS), incidence of serious injuries (MAIS 2-4) or severe injuries (MAIS 5 of 6), and mortality.

For this study, traffic crash reports from 1999-2008 from the local traffic research unit were analyzed for the involvement of injured bicyclists as well as for the following parameters: demographic data, AIS score, MAIS score, ISS, incidence of multiple injuries, incidence of serious and severe injuries, incidence of death, collision speed and collision type.

For statistical analysis of the correlation between crash circumstances with injury severity (AIS/MAIS/ISS) a t-test or linear-trend test was performed.

Results

The total number of crashes we analyzed was 289. The number of injured bicyclists was 578.

Demographic Data

Sixty-one percent of all bicyclist were male, 39 % were female.

Of all bicyclists, 1% were of preschool age (1-5 years of age), 8,5% were between 6 and twelve years of age, 12% were between 13 and 17, 66,5% between 18 and 64 and 12% older than 64 years of age.

Crash Circumstances

The crashes took place in urban areas in 92% of all cases, 8% of the observed accidents took place in rural areas. Ten percent of all crashes happened during night or darkness, 83% took place during daylight and seven percent during dawn or dusk. The road conditions at the time of the crash were dry and solid in 97% of all cases, three percent happened during rain on wet road conditions. Frequent helmet use at crash was observed at only 7,5% (n=43/578) bicyclists, 87% crashed without head protection by helmet (n=503/578), unknown was the use of a helmet protection in 5,5% (n=32/578) of all bicyclists. There were only 32 out of 350 male bicyclists wearing a helmet protection and only 11 out of 228 female bicyclists with a head protection.

Regarding the different groups of age we used for this study there were 2 out of 6 children at preschool age wearing a helmet protection. Only eight out of 49 of the children aged between six and twelve years wore a protection helmet with one unknown, two out of 68 in the group of adolescents with six unknown, 29 of 384 in the group aged between 18 and 64 years of age with 23 unknown, and two bicyclist out of 71 in the group aged 65 years or older with two unknown. Table 1 indicates the different crash locations and environmental circumstances.

Table 2 indicates the frequency of protective helmet use in correlation to gender without any significant difference.

Table 1 Crash location and environmental circumstances

Crash location	Percent of cases
Urban	92
Rural	8
Environmental Circumstances	
Night	10
Day	83
Dawn/Dusk	7
Weather conditions	
Dry and solid	97
Wet	3

Table 2 Frequency of helmet use in correlation to gender

		Helmet Use			Total
		yes	no	unknown	
Gender	male	32	295	23	350
	female	11	208	9	228
Total		43	503	32	578

Injuries

Table 3 indicates the MAIS score and AIS score of the different body regions. 82,1 percent of bicyclists sustained only injuries with minor severity (MAIS = 1), and 2,6 percent at least one severe injury (MAIS \geq 3).. The lesions at the head in not helmet-protected bicyclists were located above the ear level in more than 60 percent of the time, i.e. in the typical helmet protection area.

Injury Analysis

Table 3 shows a correlation between use of helmet protection and sustained injuries to the head of major AIS. There were at least 165 of total 498 bicyclists without helmet protection that sustained injuries to the head ranging from AIS 1->3 (30%).

Table 3 AIS and MAIS of different body regions total and in correlation to helmet use

	Total	No Helmet	Helmet	MAIS
	n	n	n	
Head				
Not injured	395	333	30	
AIS 1	128	118	8	
AIS 2	48	42	4	
AIS 3+	7	5	1	4
Thorax				
Not injured	500	438	30	
AIS 1	56	44	10	
AIS 2	20	17	3	
AIS 3+	2	1	0	3
Abdomen				
Not injured	564	488	41	
AIS 1	13	11	2	
AIS 2	0	0	0	
AIS 3+	1	1	0	3
Pelvis				
Not injured	545	475	37	
AIS 1	32	25	6	
AIS 2	1	1	0	
AIS 3+	0	0	0	1
Upper Extremity				
Not injured	361	311	20	
AIS 1	190	168	17	
AIS 2	25	19	6	
AIS 3+	2	2	0	3
Lower Extremity				
Not injured	390	343	22	
AIS 1	177	146	21	
AIS 2	8	8	0	
AIS 3+	3	3	0	3

Table 4-9 indicate the correlation between age of the injured bicyclists and the AIS of different body regions. It shows that there are only injuries of minor severity in the group of preschool children. In the group of children ranged between 6-12 years of age there is also no incidence for injuries of major severity in any of the observed body regions of interest.

Regarding the group of observed adolescents there is a mild progress of injuries of major severity with an AIS ≥ 3 in two cases. The largest group of observed bicyclists in the group between 18-64 years of age shows more severe injuries and a higher frequency of sustained injuries. The group of elderly bicyclists aged above 65 years sustained frequent injuries to head and upper and lower extremities than other observed groups.

Table 4-9 Correlation between Age and AIS of different body regions

	Age					Total
	Preschool	Between 6-12 years of age	Adolescent	Between 18-64 years of age	older than 65 years of age	
AIS Head AIS 0	3	38	55	258	35	389
AIS 1	3	9	8	79	30	129
AIS 2	0	1	4	36	6	47
AIS 3	0	0	0	4	0	4
AIS 4	0	0	1	2	0	3
unknown	0	1	0	5	0	6
Total	6	49	68	384	71	578

	Age					Total
	Preschool	Between 6-12 years of age	Adolescent	Between 18-64 years of age	older than 65 years of age	
AIS Thorax AIS 0	5	48	63	321	59	496
AIS 1	1	0	4	40	11	56
AIS 2	0	0	1	18	1	20
AIS 3	0	0	0	2	0	2
unknown	0	1	0	3	0	4
Total	6	49	68	384	71	578

	Age					Total
	Preschool	Between 6-12 years of age	Adolescent	Between 18-64 years of age	older than 65 years of age	
AIS Upper Extremity AIS 0	5	26	49	235	42	357
AIS 1	1	20	18	127	24	190
AIS 2	0	2	0	19	4	25
AIS 3	0	0	1	0	1	2
unknown	0	1	0	3	0	4
Total	6	49	68	384	71	578

	Age					Total
	Preschool	Between 6-12 years of age	Adolescent	Between 18-64 years of age	older than 65 years of age	
AIS Abdomen AIS 0	6	45	67	373	69	560
AIS 1	0	3	1	7	2	13
AIS 3	0	0	0	1	0	1
unknown	0	1	0	3	0	4
Total	6	49	68	384	71	578

		Age					Total
		Preschool	Between 6-12 years of age	Adolescent	Between 18-64 years of age	older than 65 years of age	
AIS	AIS 0	6	47	66	354	68	541
Pelvis	AIS 1	0	1	2	26	3	32
	AIS 2	0	0	0	1	0	1
	unknown	0	1	0	3	0	4
Total		6	49	68	384	71	578

		Age					Total
		Preschool	Between 6-12 years of age	Adolescent	Between 18-64 years of age	older than 65 years of age	
AIS	AIS 0	5	28	54	255	44	386
Lower Extremity	AIS 1	1	17	13	120	26	177
	AIS 2	0	3	1	4	0	8
	AIS 3	0	0	0	2	1	3
	unknown	0	1	0	3	0	4
Total		6	49	68	384	71	578

Table 10-15 Correlation between daytime of accident and AIS of different body regions

		AIS Head						Total
		AIS 0	AIS 1	AIS 2	AIS 3	AIS 4	unbekannt	
Time	Daytime	331	108	31	3	2	5	480
	Nighttime	28	14	15	1	1	1	60
	Dawn	30	7	1	0	0	0	38
Total		389	129	47	4	3	6	578

		AIS Thorax					Total
		AIS 0	AIS 1	AIS 2	AIS 3	unbekannt	
Time	Daytime	411	49	16	1	3	480
	Nighttime	52	3	4	0	1	60
	Dawn	33	4	0	1	0	38
Total		496	56	20	2	4	578

		AIS Upper Extremity					Total
		AIS 0	AIS 1	AIS 2	AIS 3	unbekannt	
Time	Daytime	293	163	19	2	3	480
	Nighttime	42	12	5	0	1	60
	Dawn	22	15	1	0	0	38
Total		357	190	25	2	4	578

		AIS Abdomen				Total
		AIS 0	AIS 1	AIS 3	unbekannt	
Time	Daytime	464	12	1	3	480
	Nighttime	58	1	0	1	60
	Dawn	38	0	0	0	38
Total		560	13	1	4	578

		AIS Pelvis				Total
		AIS 0	AIS 1	AIS 2	unbekannt	
Time	Daytime	455	21	1	3	480
	Nighttime	50	9	0	1	60
	Dawn	36	2	0	0	38
Total		541	32	1	4	578

		AIS Lower Extremity					Total
		AIS 0	AIS 1	AIS 2	AIS 3	unbekannt	
Time	Daytime	324	142	8	3	3	480
	Nighttime	39	20	0	0	1	60
	Dawn	23	15	0	0	0	38
Total		386	177	8	3	4	578

Table 10-15 indicates the correlation between daytime at accident and AIS of different body regions. It shows that there is no significant difference regarding the daytime of accident.

The correlation between age and use of helmet protection indicates table 16.

		Helmet			Total
		yes	no	unknown	
Age	Preschool	2	4	0	6
	Between 6-12 years of age	8	40	1	49
	Adolescent	2	60	6	68
	Between 18-64 years of age	29	332	23	384
	older than 65 years of age	2	67	2	71
Total		43	503	32	578

The use of helmet protection was more frequent in the group of preschool children and the group aged between 6-12 years of age than in the other observed groups as table 16 indicates.

Discussion

In this study, a technical and medical in-depth investigation of more than 280 bicycle to bicycle crashes with consequent injuries to bicyclists was performed. This study was focused on crash circumstances and epidemiologic data. Injury mechanisms were analysed in further detail including the use of protective bicycle helmets. The purpose of this study was to analyse the actual injury

situation of bicyclists far beyond those mentioned in the numerous previous epidemiologic studies regarding accidents involving more than one bicyclist.

Special Injury Situation of bicyclists

In bicyclist, the head and extremities are at a high risk for injuries. Almost one third of the injured bicyclists sustained injuries to head and/or upper extremity and/or lower extremities. These body regions are more endangered than for other crash occupants in motorized vehicles.

The impact of head injuries is still underlined by the high percentage of inpatient treatment among the group who sustained head injuries. A bicycle helmet has been shown to significantly decrease the risk of, and suffer protection against, head injuries.

Only 7.5 percent of the injured bicyclists in our study were helmet protected. This percentage was observed for the entire sample.

The helmet protection rate was higher in the groups of the younger children, but already starts to decrease in the adolescent group.

Regulations requiring bicycle helmet use could be a tool to increase the number of protected bicyclists.

We did not observe any significant difference regarding the correlation between daytime circumstances and severity of the accidents.

There was a non-significant correlation between accidents with major injuries and age of years of the bicyclist. Especially the group of young adults, maybe influenced by the use of alcohol, and travelling at higher speed is in danger of bicycle injuries with major injuries.

Also, the group of elderly people is maybe because of their lower ability of fast reaction is in more danger to accidents with major injuries. These groups are also the groups with the lowest percentage of frequent helmet use. A professional teaching compared to driving license test could here prevent the bicyclists from sustaining major injuries.

At least, in conclusion, head and extremities of bicyclists are still at high risk of injuries.

Most of the injuries to the head could have been prevented by the frequent use of fitting helmet protection. The helmet use rate is still unsatisfactorily low. Maybe a mandatory regulation could help to raise the number of bicyclists with frequent helmet use rate.

References

1. Ashbaugh SJ, Macknin ML, VanderBrug Medendorp S. The Ohio Bicycle Injury Study. *Clin Pediatr (Phila)*. May 1995;34(5):256-260.
2. Ekman R, Schelp L, Welander G, Svanstrom L. Can a combination of local, regional and national information substantially increase bicycle-helmet wearing and reduce injuries? Experiences from Sweden. *Accid Anal Prev*. May 1997;29(3):321-328.
3. Ekman R, Welander G, Svanstrom L, Schelp L, Santesson P. Bicycle-related injuries among the elderly--a new epidemic? *Public Health*. Jan 2001;115(1):38-43.
4. Farris C, Spaite DW, Criss EA, Valenzuela TD, Meislin HW. Observational evaluation of compliance with traffic regulations among helmeted and nonhelmeted bicyclists. *Ann Emerg Med*. May 1997;29(5):625-629.
5. Haasper C, Junge M, Ernstberger A, et al. [The Abbreviated Injury Scale (AIS). Options and problems in application]. *Unfallchirurg*. May 2010;113(5):366-372.
6. Lee RS, Hagel BE, Karkhaneh M, Rowe BH. A systematic review of correct bicycle helmet use: how varying definitions and study quality influence the results. *Inj Prev*. Apr 2009;15(2):125-131.
7. Li G, Baker SP. Injuries to bicyclists in Wuhan, People's Republic of China. *Am J Public Health*. Jun 1997;87(6):1049-1052.
8. Linn S, Smith D, Sheps S. Epidemiology of bicycle injury, head injury, and helmet use among children in British Columbia: a five year descriptive study. Canadian Hospitals Injury, Reporting and Prevention Program (CHIRPP). *Inj Prev*. Jun 1998;4(2):122-125.
9. Macpherson AK, Macarthur C. Bicycle helmet legislation: evidence for effectiveness. *Pediatr Res*. Oct 2002;52(4):472.

10. Missoni E, Kern J. Fatality risk factors for bicyclists in Croatia. *Croat Med J*. Oct 2003;44(5):610-613.
11. Novick LF, Wojtowycz M, Morrow CB, Sutphen SM. Bicycle helmet effectiveness in preventing injury and death. *Am J Prev Med*. May 2003;24(4 Suppl):143-149.
12. Ortega HW, Shields BJ, Smith GA. Bicycle-related injuries to children and parental attitudes regarding bicycle safety. *Clin Pediatr (Phila)*. Apr 2004;43(3):251-259.
13. Probst C, Richter M, Haasper C, et al. [Trauma and accident documentation in Germany compared with elsewhere in Europe]. *Chirurg*. Jul 2008;79(7):650-656.
14. Richter M, Lob G, Puhlhofer F, et al. [Injury prevention as the physician's challenge]. *Unfallchirurg*. Sep 2007;110(9):734-744.
15. Richter M, Otte D, Haasper C, et al. The current injury situation of bicyclists--a medical and technical crash analysis. *J Trauma*. May 2007;62(5):1118-1122.
16. Richter M, Otte D, Lehmann U, et al. Head injury mechanisms in helmet-protected motorcyclists: prospective multicenter study. *J Trauma*. Nov 2001;51(5):949-958.
17. Richter M, Otte D, Pape HC, Glueer S, Koeneemann B, Tscherne H. [Current situation of traffic accidents in children and adolescents. A medical and technical analysis]. *Unfallchirurg*. Aug 2001;104(8):733-741.
18. Rowe BH, Rowe AM, Bota GW. Bicyclist and environmental factors associated with fatal bicycle-related trauma in Ontario. *CMAJ*. Jan 1 1995;152(1):45-53.
19. Rowe BH, Thorsteinson K, Bota GW. Bicycle helmet use and compliance: a northeastern Ontario roadside survey. *Can J Public Health*. Jan-Feb 1995;86(1):57-61.
20. Selbst SM, Alexander D, Ruddy R. Bicycle-related injuries. *Am J Dis Child*. Feb 1987;141(2):140-144.
21. Westhoff J, Haasper C, Otte D, Probst C, Krettek C, Richter M. [Motor vehicle accidents with entrapment. A medical and technical investigation of crash mechanism, injury pattern and severity of entrapment of motor vehicle occupants between 1983 and 2003]. *Chirurg*. Mar 2007;78(3):246-253.
22. Winston FK, Posner J, Alpern E, et al. Who can give a pediatric trauma history for children injured in bicycle crashes? *Annu Proc Assoc Adv Automot Med*. 2000;44:459-469.